

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,216 10/19/2000		10/19/2000	Shigehisa Watanabe	3542-0111P	4485
2292	7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
		KOLASCH & BIR	CHIANG, JACK		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CHU	•	/A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2642	//
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED ST S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and ... ademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

L	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORI	NEY DOCKET NO.
	ili od kralju su kita a ta tu ota orika Kali sa juri dan irin dali oka osos	in the state of th	ika Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn	i Kontanda (S. Kostoko) Kajon Martija (Kostoko)	nto e o cano 2 for exemple; Constight outpooks ones
		•		· -··	17112 LIBA 1, W1
7.74	化二十二甲烷 有野鸡 人名卡克 电流	sky filologisty wy eskisty fin toward	Diameter (1) de antique de la come en la colonidad de la colon	Silver in the second	MINER  Longton Andrew Trades of Angele Angele  Longton Angele Ang
uĝns.	Na reconstitue en marcone com	in the property of the ACM and Appropriate the	ratures filment. See also is sur en ekkindudus it de Priss et en e	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				หลูกผมให	12
,	eussik frift an ter slæmbare Syde bee	ovian modele vije se stavita vojek INT	TERVIEW SUMMARY	NE MAILEU:	क्षेत्रकरो <i>राज्य</i>
JI pa	rticipants (applicant, applican	l's representative: PTO pe	rsonifel):	Stationary to Visco of	シガー形装 だいいいきょうぶんき だい
	Jan Chian	in in view out in emphasis in the telephone per the resistance of the second of the Second of the resistance of the second of th	radinierja. La mar da proposition da francista fara monte elema. Patrico (Lancis II), para espektoral con la la sela.		1.4.7 (1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
')	M 0	)/^ -	(9)		
2)	ed e la medialaminament i i inst <u>a</u> le con jiji sa		ner Spottery)		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
ate c	of Interview 8-20-	<u> </u>	e of the statement of state of the state of	化邻硫磺胺甲烷 化氯化磺酚	(ままさ) 田本 おうだ さいりょく よっぷり
уре:	Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to app	licant Dapplicant's representative).		
	\ it shown or demonstration cor	_	25, 38, 20, 38 Proc 1989 CVD A.S.		er i i lordenas elementos alentos religios el Españo.
KHIDI	t snown or demonstration cor	iducted: Li Yes Lisko	if yes, bier description:	** ** ***	The second secon
				n e seu sie e sie e	NEW STREET, SERVICE STREET
gree	ment 🗆 was reached. 🗀 w	ras not reached.	See below	প্রকারক কেন্দ্র হ	
	(a) discussed:	7	Telephological Control Constraints (Control Constraints)	· 1965年11日 11日 11日 11日	IN MEDICAL PROPERTY
iann	(s) discussed:	ment the completed \$ to a con-	The state of the following the first of the state of the	<u> </u>	<u>o autográ</u> de les digensistes. La confessa principale de la
lentif	ication of prior art discussed:	Burris	es Kobay	oshi et	- al.
					) in a strict of the state of t
escri	intion of the general nature of	what was agreed to if on	agreement was reached, or any other con	erina er Mari	Grnase
200 2000.	ar mad as company as the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Year through the disagrees are at
P	poses 40 - ju		The claimed not	212 To	rned by
<u> </u>	otending the m	reterial of T	he sound producing	casing	and has
to	sered shape	with respe	t to the casine	NO SA	of London
à	exa. +	ur savasa consentra sa cácilo en c	A souls on the souls and the souls are	de es de la company	
Ħ	e line future	1-0100	Toplican will file	the ame	adment 14
A fulle ust b tache	er description, if necessary, ai be attached: 'Also, where no c ed.)	nd a copy of the amendme opy of the amendments w	ents, if available, which the examiner agree hich would render the claims allowable is	eed would render to available, a summ	nary thereof must be
\ <sub>\\\\</sub>	It is not necessary for applica	nt to provide a separate re	cord of the substance of the interview.	লৈ পৰা ভিত্ত ভাগ এছ চুকু । স্বাই ধৰ্মান্ন কৈ মুন্ত চলচা প্ৰকৃতি	នេះត្រង់ បែក ម៉ាស់ស៊ីស្រី នេះជាស្ថិ ការនិមនេះជាជា ស៊ីស៊ីស៊ីស៊ីស៊ីស៊ី
niess	the paragraph above has be	en checked to indicate to t	the contrary: A FORMAL WRITTEN RES	PONSE TO THE	AST OFFICE ACTIO
NO	T WAIVED AND MUST INCLU has are ready been filed, APF TANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	JDE THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE N	F THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	713.04). If a resp TO FILE A STATE	onse to the last Office MENT OF THE
JBST	Single makes of mean of the will be the horizontal	e D. James Carlot Participation	ng any attachments) reflects a complete r		of the chiestians
JBŞ∏ S L L L	rejections and requirements tr is considered to fulfill the resp the interview unless box 1 abo	hat may be present in the to conse requirements of the love is also checked.	ast Office action, and since the claims are last Office action. Applicant is not relieved	e now allowable t	his completed form
UBS S I	rejections and requirements to is considered to fulfill the resp the interview unless box 1 about 1	nat may be present in the I onse requirements of the I ove is also checked.	last Office action, and since the claims are	e now allowable t	his completed form

practically the Medical S

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any face-to-face or telephone interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or touth.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neathandwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number; placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Name of examiner
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present:

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form Informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes; or is supplemented by the applicant of the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed; unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.